## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA MACON DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, :

:

Plaintiff, :

v. : No. 5:03-cv-236 (DF)

\$3,107.90 IN UNITED STATES
CURRENCY, MORE OR LESS,
ASSET I.D. NO. 03-FBI-001190;

First-Named Defendant Property,

\$289,303.71 IN UNITED STATES CURRENCY, MORE OR LESS, ASSET I.D. NO. 03-FBI-001191;

Second-Named Defendant Property,

\$121,803.53 IN UNITED STATES CURRENCY, MORE OR LESS,

ASSET I.D. NO. 03-FBI-001192;

Third-Named Defendant Property,

\$9,954.22 IN UNITED STATES CURRENCY, MORE OR LESS, ASSET I.D. NO. 03-FBI-002141;

Fourth-Named Defendant Property,

\$14.00 IN UNITED STATES CURRENCY, MORE OR LESS, ASSET I.D. NO. 03-FBI-002142;

Fifth-Named Defendant Property,

\$6,101.71 IN UNITED STATES
CURRENCY, MORE OR LESS,
ASSET I.D. NO. 03-FBI-002145;

Sixth-Named Defendant Property,

ITEM OF JEWELRY, : ASSET I.D. NO. 03-FBI-002171; ::

Seventh-Named Defendant Property,

:

ALL THAT TRACT OR PARCEL OF
LAND CONSISTING OF 444 ACRES,
MORE OR LESS, COMMONLY KNOWN:
AS 404 SHADY DALE ROAD,
EATONTON, PUTNAM COUNTY,
GEORGIA, AND ANY AND ALL
APPURTENANCES AND
IMPROVEMENTS THEREON,
:

:

**Eighth-Named Defendant Property,** 

:

and :

:

ALL THAT TRACT OR PARCEL OF
LAND MORE COMMONLY KNOWN
AS 155 MANSFIELD COURT, ATHENS,
CLARKE COUNTY, GEORGIA, AND
ANY AND ALL APPURTENANCES
AND IMPROVEMENTS THEREON,

:

**Ninth-Named Defendant Property,** 

:

**Defendant Property.** 

belendant Hoperty.

## ORDER

Before this Court is Defendants' Motion for Extension of Time to File its Answers to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories (doc. 119). Through their motion, Defendants request a thirty (30) day extension in which to respond to interrogatories, creating a due date of October 30, 2006.

WHEREFORE, it is ordered and adjudged that Defendants' Motion for Extension

of Time to File its Answers to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories (doc. 119) is hereby

GRANTED. Defendants' responses to Plaintiff's first set of interrogatories are now due on,

or before, October 31, 2006. Further, the parties are ordered to comply with the Court's text

only order entered on September 14, 2006, and shall have all discovery completed by the

prescribed date of January 02, 2007. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery

Ordered by the Court in the Proposed Scheduling Order and Discovery Plan (doc. 114) is

hereby DENIED as MOOT.

SO ORDERED, this 27th day of October, 2006.

/s/ Duross Fitzpatrick

DUROSS FITZPATRICK, JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DF/cbb